

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

correctly. Many counties do do things to try to attract industry, and this is just another step that some counties would like to...say that they would like to attract livestock industry, but if a county would be afraid that that would be interpreted that they were just trying to attract some large, mega operations, but did not want to do that, I understand that, and I would be all for it, and I think it makes some sense. And I will also vote for this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, just a couple of last questions on this bill before it goes its way. We talked a little bit...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Would you yield, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you yield?

SPEAKER BROMM: And, Senator, I thought I heard the word "last," did I? Did I hear the word "last" questions? Did I hear that?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, the last shall be first, and we'll see what's really last.

SPEAKER BROMM: Okay, right. But, yes, I will yield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I wanted to go back to that appealable order question and just be sure I had that straight in terms of how you expected that to work. But there are at least two different situations out there. One would be where the planning commission is structured by the local board just to give recommendations on conditional permit. And the other would be a situation where they actually make the decision on the conditional permits, as I understand it. If they are in a position where they simply recommend to the county commissioner, is a determination...a determination by the planning commission, in that instance, is not a final determination, I take it.