

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

purchase it. There are farmers and ranchers willing to sell him that land. They get good prices for it. Some of their neighbors and fellow county residents would look at those sellers as quasi traitors, because by selling for a good price, they raise the market value of that land in that county or near the area where Ted Turner is making his purchases. The other landholders feel that by the value of the property rising, taxes may increase. They are not friendly towards Ted Turner. Maybe what we will have next is a conglomeration of counties comprising the holdings of Ted Turner which will petition the Legislature to allow the Department of Agriculture to declare them a Ted Turner friendly region, buffalo friendly region. Nobody knows for sure what the outcome will be of what we're doing today, but I'm going to try to mitigate that damage to the extent that I can. Senator Bromm has looked at this language. He stated that he has no objection to it, but he is very capable of speaking for himself. if anybody has a question, I will answer it. The only thing I will not do is respond affirmatively to a request from Senator Friend to sing the lyrics instead of merely stating them. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. It's a little buzzy in here. If you would please, we'd appreciate a little more concentration. Thank you. Senator Bromm, on the Chambers amendment, FA1346 to LB 754.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. As the amendment was shown to me and as it has been explained by Senator Chambers, I support the amendment. I will vote for the amendment, and I think it alleviates a concern that some may have. And there is no reason not to put the language in there, so I'd support the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Kremer, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Mister...Senator Cudaback and members. I also would like to rise in support of the Chambers amendment. I think it makes sense and I think that was the intention all the time. It probably wasn't just worded quite