

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

prove that they met those conditions. Now let me also...how much time do I have, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You have about 1 minute and 14.

SPEAKER BROMM: The original green copy of the bill had to do with burden of proof, and I won't be able to go into answering some of Senator McDonald's comments, but it very much went with the zoning area and it very much pertained...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...to agricultural livestock operation permits. So we're still in that subject area. The process in no way has been abused. And I will deal with that a little bit more when I get more time. But I just...I'd just like you to consider...I think we've done a decent job in this area of improving the process for livestock permits. That is a very emotional decision in many areas. It's not the same in Lincoln for perhaps many of the special or conditional use permits that might come up, but in a rural area, the county board is under intense pressure just from things that may or may not be relevant to that particular application. So I think this section of the bill should stay in and you should either vote for the bill or don't vote for the bill. But I think this...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...section is important to the bill. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Schimek, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise once again to speak in favor of the Beutler amendment and to say that I, too, have been getting lots of e-mails this morning from different counties. And I don't know if Senator Hartnett talked about Sarpy County or not, but I got an e-mail from them today and I'd just like to read parts of it to you. It says: Dear Senator Schimek, I know that Sarpy County is weighing in on this bill rather late, but traditionally I