

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

this criteria,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...if there's some particular onerous objection by counties that we aren't aware of or haven't thought of, I think we felt they should have the flexibility to decide that perhaps they wanted to omit something here that we have listed as possibilities. But as I read...as I read them, I don't know what would be wrong with any of those criteria. So I don't have a problem with "shall." It was done for accommodation and flexibility, is the reason.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that will take away a big chunk of the concern I have with this first part.

SPEAKER BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I don't want us to do while putting shackles, or seeming to, on the county board and the zoning commission, allow the Department of Agriculture to ignore completely the types of things on which this first part about designating the friendly...the livestock friendly county process would be based...let me...let me start over again. I do not want...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the Department of Agriculture to to be able to ignore these provisions.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, time for what?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Your time is up. I'm sorry.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I thought you were going to say JELL-O. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You heard the