

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

done. But it's not a task I will undertake at this point. At this point, there's a bill before us whose justification I have not heard. I'd like to ask Senator Bromm a question, because I have a couple of amendments that I'm going to propose, and I'm deadly serious about them.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you yield to a question?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, I have another amendment up there which will follow Senator Beutler's, so you'd have a chance to review it. But it says the following. "The designation of any county or counties as a livestock friendly county shall not be an indication nor shall it suggest that any county that does not seek or obtain such a designation is not friendly..."

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... "to livestock production." It's that disclaimer I was talking about. And you don't have to decide now, but I just want to alert you that there is such a thing. Language which accomplishes that purpose, would that be objectionable to you, even if you don't like the way I've phrased it here?

SPEAKER BROMM: I certainly would not disagree with the intent of what you've just described to me. And I'll have to look at the words a little bit closer. But it seems to me that I would not be objectionable to that. Because I've said all the way along, it's not the intent to cast a shadow on those counties that choose not to do this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And my time is about up. And I may have another question or two when I'm recognized. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator McDonald, followed by Senator Chambers.