

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2003

LB 754

and is trying to come up with a way that with factual findings that's just some substantial criteria and basis for why you denied or granted, that it would be helpful. And I know that's been the intentions of all this. And I know the intentions have been to try to help that small family farm.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR KREMER: Some of the son comes back, and needs some more livestock. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Mr. Clerk, are there any announcements?

CLERK: Mr. President, an Executive Session of the Revenue Committee will be held in Room 2022 at 10:00. Revenue, 2022, at 10:00.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Clerk. On with discussion of the Chambers amendment, FA1344 to LB 754. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I hope whoever made the statement...it may have been Senator Baker; I was somewhat distracted...does not think that the only ones who have a right to comment on a bill of this kind are those who are engaged in livestock production. If that's the case, then the only ones who should be allowed to vote on it are those engaged in livestock production, and this bill will go nowhere. I hope Senator Baker does not think all of us are going to sit down and accept what somebody who has a financial interest in an activity tells us, without our asking any questions. When I was at the hearing, it was clear to me that the big shots were the ones who wanted this bill. I'm not like people who will sit in front of a television set during a football game and allow an announcer to describe something other than what is happening before my eyes, and I accept what he says. I know what I see, and that is what I see, not what somebody tells me. I was at that hearing, and I know what I heard. Other members may have heard something different, but I'm going by what I heard, what I observed, and what I read in