

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 754

SPEAKER BROMM: That's correct, Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: So...so questions, for example, that might have come up had it been perhaps in a Government Committee, that my committees...my commissioners are bringing up, you know, we never, when I was on the board, we never had to vote on a statement of facts. What if the commissioners are split? How do they decide what they're issuing? Do they do it by vote?

SPEAKER BROMM: Obviously,...

SENATOR THOMPSON: I mean that's their question to me and I couldn't tell from the amendment how that would work.

SPEAKER BROMM: The decision...the decision to grant or deny the permit would require whatever the majority vote is...

SENATOR THOMPSON: Right.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...and as part of that decision there would have to be whatever the proposed findings of fact are and they'd either vote for that or against that, I guess. They wouldn't necessarily all agree if it's a controversial permit, especially, but if the majority could agree to something then that would be the decision.

SENATOR THOMPSON: So the process would be you'd vote to...so you vote to deny the permit and a request of findings would happen. In my county we had...was a big enough county to have a staff person who did that, so I would know who would do that in my county. They would have to write it up, hope to get a majority to agree to what those...what were the facts to...oh, you'd have a majority vote of the board to approve the facts and...

SPEAKER BROMM: What the findings were in support of either issuance or denial of the permit.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Okay.

SPEAKER BROMM: And that,...