

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 754

Bromm has mentioned. Really, the goal is to make best management practice or best practices be used by the counties. And we've found out that several counties are already giving written statements of the factual findings, whether they deny or approve the application, either way, so that there is some facts to back up. Because almost every time, I think the last six applications either denied or permitted, either way, have gone to court. And it seems like that's kind of been the practice. So many counties... some of the counties are already doing this, and we know of at least one county that is even encouraging the applicant to obtain a county conditional use permit before the DEQ permit is applied for, then always subject to meeting the qualifications of the DEQ permit. So there are some counties that are even doing this, that's saying that please get your permit from the county before you go on further. And so it's nothing that's going to be changing that they aren't already doing, but just to try to make it a better practice. Keep in mind that the request for the final determination is optional on the part of the applicant. And also the county could accommodate the request of a final determination after the planning commission has made the recommendation to the county board. At that time there would have already been a public hearing held. So I know that there is fear of a public hearing not being able to be held, but that is not the intention, whatsoever, in this amendment or in the bill. The county would issue the final determination, but the final issuance of the permit would not occur until after the DEQ permits are granted. So the final determination is not having to do with issuing the permit, and it can always be subject to that they've not... in the last part of the amendment I think it mentions that it is always subject to that they have not changed the permit application substantially. So I think there's safeguards in here. I think it's not trying to take away any of the power from the counties. We want that to be with the counties. We always have the ability to have a hearing. So I, in our effort to try to clarify it, I don't know if we have or not. But I sure appreciate all the work and the county... the officials... NACO sitting down, working, trying to work it out so that they felt comfortable with it. I'm not sure they do completely, but we've tried to address the concerns that they've had. Thank you.