

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 754

the other questions that I...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...think I've heard I will deal with later.
Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. You've heard the opening on AM1577, offered by Senator Bromm to LB 754. Open for discussion on that amendment. Senator Hartnett, followed by Senators Kremer, Chambers, and Bromm. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, Senator Bromm, that...what do you envision, I guess that's the comments that I've heard, is what do you envision is a timely manner?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Thirty days or...

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Hartnett, I wouldn't want to bind any county who might have a zoning ordinance that sets out their time frames and their procedures to a given number of days because I don't want to make them have to change their ordinances because of this bill. The normal process that I'm familiar with in counties that are in my area, and some of the zoning ordinances I've looked at, you file an application. There is an opportunity for the planning commission to schedule a hearing on the application at a future meeting. There is notice that is given prior to that and that might take at least 30 days before you come to that point. In some cases, it could be a little longer. They would have their hearing, and it's very possible that the planning commission might have requests for information to the applicant. Certainly, the applicant would have to furnish the information that's requested before the board would be in a position to know how they would feel about their recommendation. And that would depend upon how long it would take the applicant to gather that information. After they receive that information then, of course, if they make a decision, there would have to be another public meeting. And a lot of times those are only once a month, at least in our rural