

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 754

of the bill, but I think it's a fact and a reality that once the applicant has received the determination of the conditions that they must meet and they come back to the planning commission or the county board to show that they have satisfied those, there is nothing in here that says whether or not the planning commission or the county board could have another public hearing. That would be up to them. And I would suggest that if there's been a change in what was originally proposed as conditions or use, when the applicant comes back for that review, if there has been a change and the board or the planning commission feels that the public deserves to have another opportunity to comment on that and give their views at a public hearing, there is nothing that would prevent them from doing that as part of the final review. There is nothing that would prevent that. And I would suggest if there's been a significant change, that that would be a prudent thing to do. If I were sitting on a public board passing judgment on something like this, I would want that to happen. So I'm sure there will be...may be questions. This amendment doesn't deal with the other component of the bill which still remains the same with regard to the Department of Agriculture setting up a process for designating livestock friendly counties or providing...acting as a resource of information for county zoning people that would like to know what are the latest techniques to deal with smell or waste or pollution or any other aspect of livestock...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...of livestock permitting process. That remains the same and not affected by the bill. I have received questions about, what does it mean to say that they should act in a timely manner? Before I deal with that, I want to emphasize that some of the information that's been put out and some that's even been put out by, I believe, an attorney, which I cannot believe this, would suggest that this somehow eliminates the public hearing before the determination of whether a permit would be issued. And I want to be sure that I'm clear that that is absolutely not true. And that's why I wanted to go into the great detail about the opportunities for public input and public hearings that are unaffected and not impacted by the bill or by this amendment. So, I will...some of