

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 440

SENATOR HARTNETT: For four years.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...unless we make a change in two years, all cities would participate in MIRF again.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you through, Senator Hartnett? Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Wait, Senator...yeah, Senator Wehrbein would like the rest of my time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, your light is next also,...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...so you have seven minutes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I don't need that much time. Thank you, Mr. President and members. I feel compelled to say one more thing. If there is confusion as to what the Appropriations Committee, it's been accurately portrayed. As the committee...the committee recommendation originally was a 6 to 2 vote, and some may wonder why I've changed my mind. And the reason I'm as, in my own defense, was that we understood, I think the committee understood at the time, that there was not supposed to be or hadn't been bonding against the MIRF money. And I was, at that time, approved of cutting off in two years, giving some warning and cutting off, not knowing that there was at least Lincoln, and maybe other municipalities which we don't know yet, but we knew Lincoln. Afterwards, we found out Lincoln was bonding against it. And I, at least speaking for myself, was under the impression that that was not subject...that was not the way the law was. That is an incorrect interpretation I have found out since. And if my memory had been better, just a year...in the year 2000, as I mentioned here an hour ago, there...it was affirmed in statute that cities could bond against the MIRF money. So I've changed my mind. If they were doing that legitimately, I have thought to myself then they ought to be able to continue that through 2009 because they have