

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 440

that "Donny's ditch" or we called it "Chris' ditch." I can't remember now and I don't want to remember, of course. But the thing is that where we're at though right now, we committed those funds. And then, in reading what he passed out here on this Section 77-2602 as far as allocation of these funds until July 1, 2009, and that...until such time and any reductions in cigarette tax made by the Legislature shall be simultaneously accompanied by equivalent reductions in amount dedicated to the General Fund for (sic) cigarette tax revenue. In other words, these were dedicated funds, I think, to these two projects so, therefore, we did get ourselves in a dilemma. We did make a commitment and they did commit those funds that were actually not just cigarette tax funds. If we don't get any cigarette taxes, they're still going to get the funds, the way I understand it. So...and they did commit that to bond different projects. I know whether this...so I do believe in this particular situation that that's where we're at. A commit (sic) was made by the majority of the legislators. It went into law and, therefore, I do think we are committed, even though I don't totally agree with all this, but I do think we have a commitment, so.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Bourne, you are the next speaker, but before you speak let me announce your guests. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Would Senator Beutler yield to a question or two, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Beutler, and I appreciate what you're trying to do and I understand that if you have obligated an income stream from a particular source and somehow that income stream changes it can reflect a city's bond rating and it can reflect the...or it can impact the city's bond rating and it can impact the interest rate that you pay on those bonds. And so I certainly appreciate what you're trying to do but I think we need to explore this a little bit longer. Do you know, Senator