

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 30, 2003

LB 407

necessity. This amendment does not mean there will be no money for need-based aid to students. What it does mean is that there's a possibility that more will be available than if we had proceeded in the way I was talking about doing. I was of a mind to try to remove for a two-year period \$4 million from PEAP. That money would have gone back to the General Fund. If we do all of this on Senator Raikes' bill, that money would not have to go back to the General Fund. No program would have money earmarked for it. Under Senator Raikes' bill, there is that common pot. The money would go into that. We would no longer come here each session that we deal with a budget and have a line for each one of these programs separately, giving me heartburn when I see PEAP, and I give you heartburn when I try to take the money away. So discussion, thought, and care went into the approach that the adoption of this amendment will result in. I want to be forthright with all of my colleagues, so that you know the way I am viewing this. When we adopt this amendment, the only game in town for need-based aid will be Senator Raikes' bill. Both sides, or however many sides there are, will have to work on that bill and arrive at a consensus that the body will accept. The way we would proceed if I had had to pursue the course I embarked on yesterday would be to leave the budget bill in limbo, Senator Raikes' bill in limbo, because we're dealing on two fronts. And if you have two lines that are parallel when they start, and there is nothing that disturbs that parallel relationship, they will never converge, they will never touch. They will be the same distance apart 3,000 miles from the point of their beginning as they were at the point of their beginning. We needed a convergence so that we would not be saying, we don't know what can be done on the appropriations bill because Senator Raikes' bill has been unresolved. So we start working on Senator Raikes' bill. Well, we can't do anything on Senator Raikes' bill because the appropriations bill remains unresolved. There were attempts to accommodate, in the appropriations bill, what Senator Raikes' bill is attempting to do. That is not a good way to build a house. With the technology that exists, Senator Byars, with the use of computers, perhaps you could have part of the house constructed on the East Coast, part on the West Coast, part on the Canadian border, part on the Gulf of Mexico. Then you'd transport all these parts to Nebraska and all the parts fit