

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 30, 2003      LB 407

idea is that the commissions, no matter what...the commission, no matter what we do, is still going to be in existence and recognized in statute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Members of the Legislature, the first year would require \$188,000. As I had stated when I first began, there are people who could readily support the other two commissions but see this one in a different light. Whereas, I can understand that, I do not want to see them lose everything right here today. If you think about arguments that I gave yesterday about taking all the money from PEAP, I didn't have anything on the table to abolish them. But I did have some conversations with others last night and we're going to work our way through that issue in a way that I think, ultimately, is going to be accepted by all the interested parties, whether all of them are happy or not, and that includes me among the most unhappy. But when people act in good faith, I have to respect that and give consideration to the fact that I cannot always have everything I want my way 100 percent. That doesn't mean I am not going to try to get it that way. If I were a gambler, I'd like to win every bet. I'd like every horse I bet on to win, but I know that's not going to happen. So in the same way that I'm going to look at some transitioning from point A to point B, we ought to give that same opportunity here. If instead of \$188,000, I was asking for \$1,800,000, I could see where there might be an argument, even though I still support it. Because you could say \$1.8 million is a substantial enough chunk of change, as people would call it, to say that it could play a part in our deliberations on balancing the budget. But \$188,000, to steal some words from one of my colleagues, is elevating form...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...over substance. It could give the impression that something very significant is being done in terms of frugally spending the state's money. It can be very harmful if we take that money because it is a show of no confidence, even though that may not be our intention. And yet,