

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 29, 2003 LB 407

us, I don't know, but it doesn't make sense. Senator Chambers has talked about this every year, after year, after year and, quite honestly, that's why I supported Senator Raikes' bill, because it was kind of impressed upon me that we are not getting the greatest value for our funds in terms of these scholarship dollars. And so I supported Senator Raikes. It's a phase-out situation. These individuals, these low-income kids, will be able to finish their school and then these funds won't be available uniquely to these private institutions any longer. But again, I think if we just take the money right now, we've pulled the rug out from under them, and I don't think that's the right course of action. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Engel.

SENATOR ENGEL: Mr. President, members of the body, I too do not support Senator Chambers' amendment. Like you, Senator Chambers, you said we should know what you are and you tell us what you are and we believe you, what you are, because you've certainly spent plenty of time the last few years showing us. But that has nothing to do with this. These particular scholarships are for needy students. They just happen to be that they're going to private colleges. There's about, I think, 17 or 19 private colleges in the state of Nebraska, and 2 of those are Native American, if that means anything to anybody. It doesn't make any difference. But the thing is, Pell Grants are issued by the federal government on need basis. People who get Pell Grants can go use that Pell Grant for any school they want to go to. It's not private college. It's not public school. It's wherever they choose to go and, of course, there's just so much money in there. But just for your information, and you probably already know it, is that our tuition waivers for nonresident students. We're talking about \$2 million a year here for what we're talking about today. And your nonresident tuition waivers, of course, some of those are on merit and maybe the professors are teaching here, et cetera, et cetera, but they come to, for the 2002-2003 waivers for nonresidents, it's \$15.5 million. Now those...so we're spending more money on out-of-state students than we're spending for these in-state students that we're concerned about here whether they get this...these scholarship dollars. So I think we should keep