

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 29, 2003 LB 406

And so it was felt because of the cost of renovation it was going to be much more reasonable and economical to build a new one. So that's where we're at. The 70-year-old building would require \$18.5 million to renovation and/or add to. And so there is where we're at on deciding to move ahead of this. The way we did it then was take it from the Cash Reserve Fund. This was considered the way that we were going to finance this. And I was looking for one more thing. The cash flow shows up so that it does fit in those out years, and I had it in here, the details. Oh, here it is. If we have funding approval by June 3 this year, by the state, 10-3 the funding approval should occur at the federal level, 2004 we'd have a selection and a hire of an architect engineer, July 4 next year would be design development, February of '05 would be receive bids, April of '05 would be to start construction, April of '06 would be the midpoint construction, then it would be on line and completed construction by April 7 (sic). So, you see, even if we do this now in '03, we're still four years away from right now for having complete construction. And it was felt by the task force and our committee and the veterans' organizations that followed that we ought to be aggressive on this, even in these years so...even in these difficult years. So the determination was made to use the Cash Reserve. It worked out as acceptable at the federal level that we would commit these funds as needed coming out of Cash Reserve Funds to go into the construction fund. So that's the reason for this financial thing. We keep our money as long as we possibly can, but we have stated in statute that that money will be available when it's needed to start construction per the federal requirements. And so that's what shows up here in the cash flow as an estimated. And many of these are estimates at this point, including the cost of operation.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I would agree that there ought to be some savings in the operations more than what shows up, but realize \$900,000 is definitely high, and that was at a 150-bed unit. So if you cut it to 100, in theory, that could be cut to 600, and I think there ought to be some more back from that. Now I want to say, another answer to Senator Raikes' question, there is