

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 28, 2003 LB 210

days. So 30 days is a fairly short time, but it's much better than ten or some shorter number. Then the clarification requires that once you meet the requirements of the act and carry the workers' compensation, and then if you subsequently do not meet the requirements that cause you to carry it, you would continue to carry it for a calendar year after you've notified the workers' compensation carrier and employees that you no longer meet the requirements of both subdivisions of the requirements. The other clarification in this amendment...there was some question in the General File discussion about the definition of full-time. And I desire to clarify that. And we went with pretty much what is found in workers' compensation area, defining full-time employee as a person who is employed to work one-half or more of the regularly scheduled hours during each pay period. That is the criteria that has been applied in other areas of workers' compensation. We also go on to clarify that when we talk about not counting relatives in the number of employees, we are including a spouse. That was...there was some question about whether a spouse was included, excluded, or what. This would say that in counting the number of nonrelated employees, if your spouse is employed, the spouse is not one of those nonrelated employees. That is the crux of this amendment. Senator Beutler has some amendments. And he and I have discussed some possible compromises on the bill. We haven't quite concluded those discussions. But we're working on it. And so I do not think this...I could be wrong. I don't think this amendment is particularly controversial. I think it would mesh with other changes. But...and so that's what I offer to you as primarily some definitions and some clarification. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. You've heard the opening on AM1587, which is an amendment to LB 210. Open for discussion on that amendment. Senator Bromm, there are no lights on. He waives the opportunity to close. The question before the body is adoption of AM1587 to LB 210. All in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed nay. We're voting on adoption of AM1587, offered by Senator Bromm to LB 210. We're voting on AM1587. It's the Bromm amendment to LB 210. Have you all voted who care to? Record please, Mr. Clerk.