

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 28, 2003 LB 265

people don't even want to be in a fistfight. Most people don't want to be wrestling and tumbling around on a public street. So there are inhibitors that exist right now that prevent arguments from escalating to the point of physical violence. If one or both of the persons would be armed, the guns take over and the guns do the talking. "Mr. A" has a gun, "Mr. B" has a gun, and as they talk each one becomes increasingly agitated and the gun...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is egging each of them on. When you have young children who are arguing, bystanders will try to provoke a fight. And I will go on next time I'm recognized. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I wanted just to kind of go through a handout that I passed out to you. It has to do...it's an analysis of deadly force and a description of when you're allowed to use deadly force. And the piece that I handed out to you is not specific to Nebraska, but every...almost everything in here would be true in Nebraska. And when you have a deadly weapon the rules with regard to when you can use it are quite complicated, and I wanted to give you a sense of what those rules were because my point would be a person who has a concealed weapon, who pulls it and uses it, unless they know an awful lot about the law and when they can do that, they are putting themselves in considerable jeopardy. Usually, and you know just going through this handout, there it says to begin with, in order for deadly force to be justified there must be an immediate otherwise unavoidable threat of death or grave bodily harm to yourself or other innocents. So you first have to deal with the question of what's immediate. And there's a lot of case law on what's immediate and what's not. And then you have to deal with the question of what's unavoidable. When is a situation unavoidable and when is it not? And then it has to be the threat of death or grave bodily harm. Most people have the impression that they may be able to