

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 28, 2003 LB 265

force but they are disciplined and they understand the method used by this attacking force, that they have great enthusiasm and ardor, if you can withstand or delay the success of that first ferocious charge you've got them. They don't have the discipline or the wherewithal to sustain that activity. That's why it was said of the Gauls, G-a-u-l-s...I don't know if they were the forerunners of the French but they were the forerunners of somebody in Europe...it said when they start the fight they are as ferocious as lions, but at the end they are weaker than women. Here's what they meant by that. If the first attack, assault or charge was unsuccessful, they were thrown into disarray. They could not sustain what they were attempting to do, because they thought they would win with the first charge. When you have only one plan and it does not pan out, you are whipped. So this other force uses discipline and is trained for the long haul to have advances, retreats, ups, downs, pauses. So these law enforcement persons, if they are trained, will understand that the armed individual was very upset, highly stressed. Otherwise, there would not have been the reaction that there was when the first officer came on the place. They give the person a chance to cool down. They try to find somebody who can talk to that person, maybe a family member, maybe a minister if the person goes to...no, that might be the worst thing to do, but somebody in whom that person has confidence, or the agency may have a negotiator or have access to one. The whole purpose is to talk the person out of that inflamed state, get him or her to thinking rationally, come to the realisation that nobody's life should be lost in this encounter, nobody should be injured, and you let the homeowner or the farmstead owner know that there is no way to come out of this as a winner unless the pistol is laid down and the matter is handled in a reasonable, civilised manner. That's how you do that. Now what chance do you think you're going to have to go through all that if, on the street, two hot-headed people meet each other and both of them are armed? There could have been a preexisting argument they had. If neither or both of them were unarmed, if neither had a gun or both were unarmed, there might be some heated words exchanged. There might even be some pushing and tussling and shoving. There might even be a punch or two thrown. But nobody is much worse for the wear and tear, and nobody is dead. Nobody is seriously injured. But most