

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 28, 2003 LB 265

good thing. And they advised us to change our laws and do it differently, which we have done for decades and decades, and now we're forgetting what those who experienced firsthand advised us. And we're going into another one of those cycles that we seem to go into where we forget the arguments on the other side and we forget the experience of the people who actually experienced the other side of the question. And we revert back to something that I think is a quality and a law of a less civilized society. I don't want...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...people relying on concealed weapons at the expense of encouraging law enforcement.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Hartnett, on the motion to recommit.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, I also, like Senator Beutler, have not spoken on this issue. I passed out a cartoon, it came from the Omaha World-Herald, and I think it's kind of ironic is that what happened up in Senator Tyson's district last year, with what happened up there, is that I...that I think it's...that the World-Herald chose to use this thing, and that is, to me, is part of the problem with concealed weapons is this unknown fear factor. If I could use Senator Schimek as an example here, a lot of times I hear from people making...my constituents that we're going to use this, this is going to protect us. This is going to protect us. Senator Schimek, could you, if I was going to attack you from this distance, could you draw your weapons in time? And the answer is, no. You do not know when you're going to be attacked. And it really doesn't add to protection. I think a lot of times that's what the female gender thinks that that's what they are going to buy the concealed weapon and they're going to get protection. I don't think they can because you can't know. You can't get your weapon out in the distance between us, and so I think it's a false argument used for the people that support the bill is that this is going to protect me. You don't know when anybody is going to attack you. It simply can happen at...happen at any time, and so I think that that's a bogus