

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2003 LB 332

it would appear to me that it's one of those cases where it could be adjudicated over and over. And it ties up our courts. I don't know why that's necessarily a good thing. So I just think that this whole question still comes down to a matter of policy, and that is whether you think a person ought to be able, if they lose a case because they didn't have a good case, and you can take it to another state and go through the same action and the same costs incurred, and tie up the court. So I stand here in support of the amendment, and hope that we could attach it and move the bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Schimek. Oh. I do have a priority motion. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to recommit the bill to the Business and Labor Committee.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on your motion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I've just been intimidated on the floor of this Legislature, and I would withdraw that motion.

SPEAKER BROMM: It is withdrawn. Senator Schimek. Waives off. Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Workers' comp is different. We are really a negotiation. We talked about the principles of courts. And I'm not a lawyer, but res... "juricata" is a principle of the courts. But this is the only court that has a voc rehab fund. It's the only one that has that. It's the only court that just picks injury, not fault. It's different. We negotiate these things. Employers give up something when they go to workers' comp. But the employees give up something too. And I think it's a different principle. I think we ought to negotiate this. I think we ought to figure this out. I rise in opposition to the amendment.