

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2003 LB 626

was...if Senator Preister would yield, I would appreciate it. I just had a couple of...maybe just one question, possibly a couple of questions, so.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister, would you yield?

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, I will.

SENATOR FRIEND: And, Senator Preister, forgive me, if we address this in General File but because of AM1421 I was curious as to whether the...I look at these fiscal notes and, because of my, you know, newness I guess, I was wondering if you could explain to me how much the fiscal note has changed, if any, and maybe a general idea of what the fiscal note means to the amendment and the bill. I mean how is the funding going to change? I'm assuming part of the reason that a lot of this was done, I guess, is because in the future we're looking at cost savings. We're looking at benefits in that area. And I was wondering if you could educate me on the record. And there were...I think there were some questions as to how this fiscal note, if it did at all, changed, or will change.

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, thank you, Senator Friend, for the question. We don't have a new fiscal note, which we will get on Final Reading, so the current fiscal note is based on the bill that was introduced. That could stay very close to the same. It could go down. What with the additional exemptions that we put in, there is fewer...there will be fewer contracts, less work that will need to go into these. We also reduce the number of product contracts, contracts for goods, and that went from \$10,000 to \$25,000 that they were assessing before that they won't have to do, and that should free up some labor. So there should be some offset there that would reduce the fiscal note. And basically we need to have all the agencies sit down with the administration and come together for a final realistic fiscal note. Since the requirements are basically all in the Governor's executive order and there's no fiscal note to the executive order, and we've exempted additional people out of what would be required under the executive order, theoretically there should be no actual cost but we have the potential of cost savings, and that's part of what we're trying to get at. We're