

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003      LB 249

eligibility for extracurricular activities, the standard of comparability is that option student as compared to another student who is transferring. And Senator Bromm I think has made clear the intent that the...when we're talking about transfers between schools, public, private, parochial, that the intent here is that all of them would be treated similarly. Senator Beutler mentioned transfer students between schools in the same system or the same district, we also have, of course, transfers between public schools in different districts, public and private schools, that standard or that circumstance, if you will, is the base for comparison. The NSAA is the nonstatutory agency that's responsible for actually doing the rulemaking. This proposal, the way it's fashioned, would not put that sort of rulemaking, particularly as to dates and so on, in statute, which I think is a very good point. What it would do, though, is make clear the guidelines to them for those rules. And the essence of those guidelines is that students that are similarly situated as far as transferring and as far as extracurricular activities would be treated similarly. So, again, I commend Senator Stuhr for the amendment. Senator Bromm was also an important contributor and I would urge your adoption. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Stuhr, I think I understand your amendment better and Senator Raikes has made an interpretation of it which seems clear enough. But since you're the sponsor of the amendment, let me just ask you to verify Senator Raikes' interpretation and what I believe to be the intended interpretation now. But it says, in line 14, students who transfer into the school, that doesn't mean school district, that means just the school. So if you're transferring between two high schools in the same district, this rule is intended to apply. Is that your intent?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you respond?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, it is.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you very much, Senator. Thank