

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003 LB 249

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, in this instance, it's a transfer within a public school district and not another public school district, but your intent would be to treat, even within the district, if they are one high school to another, they should be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...treated the same also?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: All right. So you wouldn't object to a little amendment on Select File that maybe made that clear.

SENATOR STUHR: I'd have to look at but I, you know, I'd certainly be willing to look at it. Maybe we can have more of a dialogue between now and then.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Raikes, on the Stuhr amendment.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I think that this has been perhaps explained well, but I want to just run over it one more time. And too, maybe for more of my own use than anyone else's, I think that the amendment that Senator Stuhr has offered is a good amendment. I commend her for it. It is much simpler, of course, than what we talked about before. The essence is this, that if you're talking about education, generally, curricular education, if you will, then option students are to be treated the same as resident students. That's the standard for comparison. And, in fact, that's what we have now in statute without her amendment to deal with eligibility for extracurricular activities. That's the reason for her amendment is that in determining eligibility for extracurricular activities, rather than comparing an option student with a resident student, the base for comparison is that option student with another transfer student. They have to be treated similarly to a student that is transferring. So instead of comparing an option student to a resident, when it comes to