

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003 LB 759

So how much is this tax on candy and soft drinks worth to you? I'm telling you what it's worth to me. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. Senator Bourne, I appreciated your remarks, and I couldn't agree with you more. And I took the tenor of your remarks to be that, good with bad, let's hold this package together to Select File, and then look at the tools that we have available to us to adjust it. And that...and I agree that that's the course we should follow. I do have an amendment filed that would remove the snack tax and replace it with an incremental increase in the income tax to cover that amount of money. But it was my intent, since Senator Brashear also wishes to try to keep this together to Select File, it was my intent to withdraw the amendment. But I wanted to simply illustrate the flexibility we could have on Select File and the tools that would be available. If you just take, for example, the difference between what we've already done with the income tax by extending the LB 1085 income tax, and what we might logically do, which is to return to the 1997 income tax level, that difference is \$92 million, in the area of \$92 million. So when we get to Select File, that's one tool, that \$92 million, that we can use to adjust for this or that or anything else. If you think there's a little too much over here on some sales tax exemption, you can reduce it. We can adjust the income tax a little bit. There are tools there. There's another \$11 million in corporate registration fees, which is a very logical thing to do. And we haven't even discussed that yet, but it's a \$11 million piece. That could substitute for something on Select File. That can be discussed there. And there are a couple of other revenue sources that can be discussed as substitutions. So we will have the tools. I too hope we can keep it together, so that we have a body of revenue that gives you the opportunity to discuss whether or not you want to stick with the Appropriations Committee recommendation. It's \$360 million. The Legislature almost never sticks to the recommendation entirely. There are always going to be some differences. Probably it's not going to be a bigger gap, given the atmosphere this year. Probably, if you do anything with that recommendation, you will reduce it and insist upon a few