

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003 LB 759

The broadening of the base, I have a real trouble, a real problem with the tax on construction services, but I feel like we need to do it. I don't like the alcoholic beverage tax. The property tax was appealing to a certain extent, because we help those people with the \$5,000 homestead exemption. But what I was voting yes on all these amendments for was to keep this package together. Senator Beutler's got an amendment to increase the income tax. And I'm going to oppose that as well, because I think it's premature. We haven't even discussed the budget bill yet. And Senator Beutler talks about the budget bill, a \$360 million hole to fill. And while I appreciate that and I appreciate all the hard work that the Appropriations Committee has done, I'm not sure that's the final number. Maybe when it all shakes out it's going to be \$200 million; maybe when it shakes out it's going to be \$500 million. I don't know. But what I'm suggesting is, is that...and this is where I'm coming from on LB 759, is that I was voting for the package, to put all these details in there, to keep our options open. And then, I assumed, under...on Select File, Senator Brashear's bill would be adjusted to increase or decrease any revenue we might need. I think it's premature to take out the portion of LB 759 that Senator Chambers wants to take out at this time. Maybe on Select File, after we've discussed the Appropriations bill, we might realize that we don't need that kind of revenue. And then at that time it seems to make sense to take that out. I don't know. But the point I'm trying to make is, this is a package. It's a comprehensive package. It touches everyone. And I know some people are averse to property tax. And I can appreciate that, even though there was a sweetener in there, if you will, for the ag sector. I think we need to stop being as parochial and territorial, and think globally, think about the state on the whole. We're state senators. And I support the concept, the parts of LB 759. I oppose Senator Chambers' amendment, simply because it's too early. There's plenty of time to modify this, depending on what the Appropriations package ends up as. Again, I oppose Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. As you all know, I stand up in strong support of this amendment. And I