

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003 LB 759

support this at this point. The second one, I'd like to ask Senator Schrock a question, if I may.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, will you yield to a question, Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Senator Schrock, if we were to pass your amendment, do you think that that would run in conflict with the federal lawsuit that was settled with the railroads and business property across the state?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Wehrbein, that is a concern. I do not think it would. Remember, we did get an opinion that we could take livestock off of the property tax rolls, and I don't think this will be any different. And that issue has been discussed and I think we're okay there.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. Senator Landis, I would like to ask the same question of, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, will you yield?

SENATOR LANDIS: Here's is about where we are. The railroads in the 4-R Act essentially get roughly that percentage of their personal property tax that we tax of all personal property in the state. To the extent we lower the amount of personal property tax that we tax in this state, the railroads would be entitled to a reduction of their taxes. What I don't think happens is that it becomes unconstitutional, but I think the carry-over is that the railroads would have a federal claim by which the state of Nebraska would have to ratchet down railroad taxes. Since out of all personal property, and we're only taxing some, but we would be reducing that amount, the railroads would I think probably be in a position to demand that their taxes reflect that percentage. Not unconstitutional but it would have a spillover effect on railroad taxes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. I certainly understand Senator Schrock's personal property tax issue. We're just paying ours here next week, same thing, and it's certainly disgusting every year and every year just like it is on property