

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 24, 2003 LB 540, 759

think, an impact on...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...other local government agencies by using this particular approach, those other than counties, cities, and so on, who call on the property tax base. And probably even for schools there would be an impact, in the event that they want to do bond issues for new buildings, which, of course, is something that we as a state government call on local school districts to handle themselves. So to the extent we make it more difficult for them to pass a bond issue by having the operating levy higher, I think we're hindering the opportunity for school building construction. So again, for those reasons, I am not going to support Senator Brashear here. I would rather be...we do the approach in LB 540. Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion. Senator Vrtiska, followed by Senator Hartnett, on AM1477.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I can only say, the further down the road we get, the more complex it seems to get. But because I don't understand this as clearly as I should, I'd like to ask Senator Brashear a couple of questions, if he would be willing to answer.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear, are you available?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Brashear, as I understand this, and I...you can correct me if I'm wrong. As I understand it, if you own property but you don't farm it, you don't get any credit. Is that correct?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: As it is drafted, you have to be actively engaged in the farming of the property.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I understand that. Now, if you own land and that's been your source of income, and you quit farming, you