

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

seriously concerned about this discussion and about this amendment, and I'd like to share with you why. And I hope you will not hold against me the fact that up there somewhere it's, as we started out early this morning, a long time ago, it says it's LB 759 and it's Brashear. But where are we? We are now...and I'm going to use a little hyperbole, to try to explain my point of view. We are now obsessing about, not new revenue, not something new. We've been taxing cigarettes forever. We've earmarked cigarette monies for a long, long time. We have debated more hours than probably most of us can remember, the earmarking of every one of these items. And here we are again. We're losing the forest for the trees, or the trees for the forest. You know what I mean. We're out of focus. The work that the Revenue Committee has done is before us, and we've gone nowhere. Now, that's fine if we're going to spend two, three days. I'm glad, because it's the kind of debate we should have. I'm glad Senator Quandahl raised this issue. This is a worthwhile thing to discuss. The opposite of what Senator Chambers says is equally as true. If we pass this, we could undo it. He's right. And if we don't pass it, we could still do it later when we might have a better clue as to what we're doing and why we're doing it. Today we were supposed to be talking about the revenue bill and all of its component parts. We're still on division one, still talking about the smokers and the tax and the money we get off cigarettes. We've gone nowhere on the new subject matter. We're talking about what we're comfortable with, I guess. Now, we could wait for the Appropriations Committee to speak, if we believe that our committee structure has a legitimate process that's worthwhile. So we could recognize that we believe in the sanctity of contract, which is what all of this is about. Hours and hours and bills and public hearings and sessions and debate are the background behind this. It's not a simple list of projects that just happened at the snap of a finger. We now are informed, thanks to Senator Quandahl, Senator Chambers, Senator Schimek, Senator Byars, others, about the gravity of this issue. So now we could put it in context. Now we could deal with this bill that is before us, that has been just a while in gestation, like six years. In fact, I was laughing to myself, the first time I ever tried the people's tax plan, it was exactly the way Senator Quandahl is trying it now, as a wild...I'm sorry, as a