

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

to cut off that project in the middle of the stream here. And I don't think we would. I think we'd wind up taking the money back out of the General Fund. And that's okay too, if that's what we want to do. But I just rise to give that little bit of background, and also to say that I think a lot of the discussion that we've heard on this bill so far is essential to this whole process. And appreciate a lot of the points that have been made. And we will continue to do that. And we're just really getting started. But I think it's advisable to look at every aspect, as we're doing, and see where we're at with this bill and the next one, whenever the time comes. So with that, Mr. President, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Bromm gave some substantive reasons, as did Senator Wehrbein, for maintaining this program. Those arguments could be given to restore money to the program, but that apparently is not the course that the body chooses to take. There is one program that I was looking for, and I didn't see it. But it was called to my attention that it is identified only by certain sections of statute. And I got those sections of statute, and the information I was given is correct. And the only reason I looked up the statute was to show that I don't take what people give me and just let it go in one ear and out the other. We will have an interesting discussion when Senator Quandahl's proposed amendment comes before us. There is a secondary reason I have for going on with these individual items. By doing it this way, we may get a vote on Senator Quandahl's entire amendment, rather than breaking it into pieces. I'm breaking it into pieces now. If you break his amendment into pieces, you'll just be doing what I'm already doing at this point. My purpose in being instructive in this manner is to specify items that the body feels have a higher priority for funding than solving the state's financial crisis. What Senator Quandahl's amendment will do is to recombine all of these items. And we take a position based on what you might call a philosophical outlook or approach toward handling our fiscal crisis. By taking all of these earmarked programs and putting them in one bundle and