

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

true? Would you say that's a generally true statement?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, I hesitate to fully support that statement, because we are aware of what the needs are in these areas, and we have been agreeable to allowing that. For example, the Game and Parks' cent here, we took that into account when we cut Game and Parks significantly on their General Fund support, because we realized that this cash fund support here was going to go to the Game and Parks to do improvements to park systems. So these are not all done in isolated instance, or in a vacuum. But on the other hand, it's not viewed as intensely as a regular General Fund. I would agree with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Members of the Legislature, I'm trying to be the dean of the Legislature this afternoon by calling our attention to some things so we can make some comparisons, we can see how we treat one thing in segment A and treat something else in segment B, and we can see that what is in segment B may be more important than what's in segment A, but segment A gets a free ride every year, every year. Am I getting irritated now? Slightly? Why are we even discussing these things now? Because I think we ought to. They were not going to be discussed before. And every one of these earmarked items was going to get that money. And some of these items, we don't even know what they are. We don't even know what these funds do. And there might be people on the Appropriations Committee who don't know in detail what they do. They are not put in the position of the heads of state agencies who have to come in and justify their budget. These people don't have to justify anything, because they have nonthinkers on...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the floor saying, don't touch them, don't touch it, let it go there because it's always been going there. And when you say, why, there's no answer. And yet we're looking for money. You'll cut it out of state agencies. You want to cut money out of the advocacy agencies. But these, where you don't even know what they are, you don't want to touch them. That's not acceptable, from where I stand. So I'm going to