

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

But in this time when everything is on the table, I think an amendment such as mine, that we'll get to later on, is appropriate, because that would force us to reexamine all of those earmarks and decide what truly is important for the state of Nebraska. If those earmarks are legitimate and if they are to continue, they could be added back in at a later time via amendment. But if not and if we come down to a point where we have to make the hard decisions, where we truly do have to live up to that mantra that we've been saying that everything is on the table, this amendment or my amendment would actually do that. And so instead of...I know Senator Chambers has been here a lot longer than I have and his style is a little bit, I guess, less drastic or to the point as mine in taking each individual earmark, simply my amendment that will be probably discussed later, I put it up here for some discussion right now and I invite you to comment on it, would simply do away with all of the earmarks and put all of that money into the General Fund. Now what does that mean in the terms of dollars? I am informed that the current 64-cent per pack cigarette tax generates approximately \$83.2 million per year. What that would mean is \$83.2 million into the General Fund. Now there is a substantial portion of those cigarette taxes that already do go to the General Fund, so it wouldn't be an increase of \$83.2 million, but it would do away, like I said, with all of those nine earmarks and they would have to stand on their own, basically, under my theory to be added back in. Now the Governor proposed an increase in the cigarette tax of 20 cents per pack. Now I've been around in this Legislature, this is my fifth year, and I've never voted for a cigarette tax increase in the years that I've been here. But if you look at that 20-cent increase in the cigarette tax, that would generate \$109 million per year. If all of that went into the General Fund, I think...I think it's obvious that that would go a long way towards addressing the deficit that we have before us. And so I guess I realize, when I get up and I stand and I talk...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: ...against many of the earmarks that have been crafted over the years, that that would generate some discussion, that would generate some opposition from the folks