

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003

LB 759

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...but I don't know that.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you very much.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, members of the Legislature, I wanted to go back one step, if I could again. We've gotten on to the Chambers amendment and I appreciate very much Senator Quandahl and Senator Chambers emphasizing the issue that everything should be on the table, and it should be on the table, and all of these cigarette tax pledges should be on the table. But, again, I would hope that you would put them on the table and consider them at the same time that we're considering everything else that we discuss. For example, if you want to consider the cigarette tax to the Game and Parks, fine, but consider it at such time as you have information on what else we did to Game and Parks, what other cuts we made to them and how that fits into the overall big picture. But what I wanted to ask Senator Landis, if he was around, and I guess I will just ask him rhetorically at this point in time if he's not, but the question is this. Senator Brashear's bill, depending on the form that we pass it in, may provide a great amount of revenue, maybe \$180 million, maybe somewhat more, but it's going to come up to be perhaps, in all likelihood, perhaps half of what the Appropriations Committee has suggested to you or what their recommendation would be. So this bill, at best, probably comes to half of the \$360 million or so. And so, for myself, I would want to be sure that...and hopefully the Revenue Committee has another bill that it can bring forward and intends to bring forward to be a complement to the Appropriations Committee bill and a complement to Senator Brashear's bill that will afford us the opportunity to decide one way or another with respect to whether we want to provide the revenue at the level of \$360 million. And so I leave that question on the table, but I think we should keep in mind as we go forward that Senator Brashear's bill may or may not be part of the revenue package, but even at best is only half of what the Appropriations Committee has recommended to you in terms of revenue increases.