

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

up. Obviously, if you don't smoke and you don't drink, they're both good ideas because the people that do are going to pay the bill. Some people think that's an unfair way to tax people, but there is an option that those people who smoke and drink have, and they can quit. But if they did, obviously, we would suffer on the other end. So it comes back to the issue, when we continue to raise cigarette taxes, more people do quit. And obviously the other issue, and we talked about that some time back, and that's the Internet sales and how they've cut into our...the funds that we get from cigarette tax. And they're going to continue. More and more people are becoming attuned to buying their commodities over the Internet and we don't get any taxes.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I think that's something that we're going to have to address very shortly or we're going to find ourselves digging the hole deeper and deeper as this process moves forward. So I think that's something that this body needs to look into. And the last thing I'll say is that I don't support Senator Chambers' amendment simply because this is one of those switch and bait deals where we're going to either pay it on one end or the other, and it appears like, what they've already talked about, Appropriations Committee are going to take care of those funds. So I won't be supporting of Senator Chambers' amendment, but I do support, at least for now, the first part of Senator Brashear's or Senator Landis' proposal. With that, thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. I rise in opposition to Senator Chambers' amendment for the simple fact that it is going to be taken care of by the Appropriations Committee. When Senator Quandahl spoke about some of the areas that the cigarette tax go to, it reminded me of the several-hour debate we had here a few years ago, Senator Vrtiska remembers that, on the outdoor recreation whatever it was. We, at that time, called it the ditch and the mud flat argument, as I recall. There you go. That's what