

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003 LB 759

a worse financial situation than we were there. After our...than we were then. After I made that yes vote on LB 1085, I swore to myself I would never do that again. And, yet, I'm trying to balance what we're doing in terms of cuts versus what we might do or potentially could do in terms of revenue. I think the Appropriations Committee has done a fantastic job and, even that, they've done incredibly hard work, and yet there's still a \$360 million hole to fill. And that's not a criticism against them. They have heard the need. I've been in those hearings. People come in and they say, what's going to happen if we make these cuts? And yet, you hear the number \$360 million and it sounds incredibly large, and it is. I look at a revenue increase versus the other side of it. What does it mean to K-12 schools to cut them by...I think the number was \$124 million? What does that mean? Does that mean kids are going to be in a class of 40 instead of 30? Or does it mean a thousand teachers are going to be let go? Are schools going to close? I don't know. What does it mean to have a 10 percent cut to the university on top of the cuts that were already there? I'm not sure. I'm sure it's hundreds of jobs. So what I'm trying to balance is Senator Brashear's revenue bill versus the other side of it. Those cuts that are going to be extremely painful to all Nebraskans and, in my opinion, education cuts are the worst of all because the impact or the effect from those cuts are long lasting. Years and years and years down the road I believe we'll feel those effects of those cuts. I supported Senator Brashear's original concept because I felt that there was enough pain in there to go around. There's a little property tax increase, and yet there's a homestead exemption. The homestead exemption helps poor people. The property tax increase obviously impacts more affluent people. There's a little sales or income tax increase in there. That impacts the little more affluent people as well. There's also...now there's an alcoholic beverage tax that tends to impact poor people more, as does the cigarette tax. So I guess what I'm trying to say is that even though I said, at one time, after the LB 1085 vote, that I would never vote for another property tax increase, I'm trying to balance that personal commitment I made to myself with the devastating effects of significant cuts. And I think it was a mistake for Senator Brashear to divide this out, because I supported the package concept. It's very difficult. I don't