

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2003

LB 759

the moment, by order of the Speaker, at which we will begin to deal with what I have affectionately dubbed the people's revenue plan or the people's tax plan. I'm not certain, but I think this is the sixth time that I've offered some version of this to you. I've introduced it when times were very, very good. I've introduced it when times were doing well but beginning to turn uncertain. I've introduced the plan when things now are as bad as they've been for decades. Each year my refrain has been the same. We will either consider all these things when we can, or we will consider them when we have to or, maybe that should be translated, when we want to. The...I've always suggested this because I honestly and fervently believe that it was good tax policy in whatever version or form we adopted it. I have long believed, always is a little strong, I have long believed that we need a broader sales tax base that would allow us to lower rates, so that our rates are as attractive as they can be. I believe that we need to reduce the role of property tax levy limits, subsidized by ever-increasing state aid, and that that's good tax policy. I think we need to reconsider our tax rates and keep them competitive to reflect the new reality of a new economy. I think that's good tax policy. This proposed amendment has five component parts, and after making this opening I intend to ask for a division of the question in order that we not try to deal with five separate and distinct component parts all in one. Four of the five parts of this bill have been advanced to you by your Revenue Committee. The fifth was not before the committee in its present form. The first concept that's embodied is that we would make permanent the 30-cent per pack increase in the cigarette tax adopted last year. When I was working on this, again over the interim, I didn't think maybe I would have to include the 30-cent tax, which we had made temporary, so it wasn't included. But your Revenue Committee has included it. I think it makes sense. It does not include more than 30 cents, but it does include the 30 cents per pack. Second, it would increase the alcoholic beverage taxes for the first time since 1991. That's also not something I had put in the original bill. That's something which many of you have been a part of working toward or working on. Don't want to mischaracterize anything. Third, this amendment would...and this is a part of the committee's amendment...would increase the income tax in the sense of making