

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 265

SENATOR TYSON: I'm not an expert on those things, Senator Chambers. Probably you are, but...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Yes, Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: I am in support of Senator Chambers' amendment to recommit the bill to the Judiciary Committee, and I will give the rest of my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, about four and a half minutes, if you care to use them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Now if I can get back to Fred Astaire (laughter), Senator Tyson, here is what I'm getting at. You don't have to answer this question. You have been telling us how people can protect themselves from crimes if they are carrying a hidden pistol. So...and a robber approaches you with a pistol and sticks it against your nose and say, give me your money. What good is a concealed weapon if you can't pull it out and shoot him before he shoots you? And if, in that set of circumstances, you're not going to draw the gun to kill him, of what value is your gun? But now, if it's a smart robber, what he will do is put the pistol on you and say, if you got a gun drop it. So Senator Tyson would probably say, uh-huh, this is going to be my chance to kill him, so he'd pull out the gun and then the robber shoots him because he can see he's not going to drop the gun. Those kind of examples are ridiculous. What has been determined, and I don't know if Senator Mossey's experience is broad enough to be aware...so he'd be aware of this from experience, but he probably has read about it, as have a lot of other people. In homes where people have guns, often the intruder, if the homeowner winds up being shot, will