

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 265

really clever way of telling people that. They put "bar" on the window or the sign. If you walk into a place and there's 25 tables in the dining room and 4 stools at the bar, you begin to suspect things. One of the things that they mention, concern, if a...and Senator Schimek didn't get to that in time, but I'll read it for her. If a member of the judicial branch is a permit holder of a concealed handgun permit, would he/she be in violation of the concealed handgun permit act if he/she would possess a concealed handgun in a courtroom, or would he/she have an affirmative defense under Nebraska Revised Statutes 28-1202? I answered that. A judge is master of his domain, and the separation of power demands that it be so. At least in theory, a judge could allow anyone he designates to carry a concealed weapon despite this act which forbids carrying a concealed weapon in a courthouse or courtroom. A judge can do what he wants in his courtroom. Now I'm sure some learned student of the law, such as Senator Chambers, might come up, as he did a few moments ago, and say how that is not so. But if you listened to what he was saying about the affirmative defense, don't listen to when he says it's not so. Listen to him describe the act, which was the fact that you were assumed to be guilty until you proved your innocence. That's what's known as being guilty before the fact--guilty until proven innocent, not innocent until proven guilty. And while Senator Chambers can twist that into any shape he wants, it doesn't change the fact. Incidentally, and this is just in the amendment, AM1362, the renewal fee is now \$75 and it changes the distribution. And to address the concern that she was speaking of, he needs to know of his knowledge or the knowledge within his department as to (c) and (d). (c) and (d) was do you have a man applying who has...is not habitually in an intoxicated or drugged condition; (d) has no history of violence. Now what are those in there for, because those are very nebulous terms? Nothing has been proven. Senator Chambers, you and I both had to read Pegis when we were at Creighton. I answer thus. It is recognized that not every contact by sheriffs or police result in an arrest or charges. Where it is known that the applicant drinks excessively or has participated in domestic disturbance calls,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.