

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 267

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is he a private investigator?

SENATOR BURLING: As far as I know, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if he was going around detecting and investigating (laugh), he'd probably be called a peeping Tom, huh?

SENATOR BURLING: I suppose.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If he was just doing it on his own. Thank you, Senator Burling. From what I can gather, we have a person with no experience, no qualification that has been certified to exist, and he wants to be able to be licensed without having to meet the requirements that others situated as he was at the time had to meet. We should not change the law for one person in a set of circumstances like this. Let's say he was...his circumstances were those of a veteran who had called me, and he is severely disabled. And an agency made a ruling that is inappropriate, but the only way that could be overturned would be with a statute. In that situation, or one of that kind, I could see where maybe a statute would be enacted to help one person. But that, I think, is entirely different from a situation where an individual does not want to meet the qualifications that are required. Now, having said that, I'd like to ask Senator Burling another question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Burling?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Burling, if this bill were to be enacted, and the number of hours would be reduced, how would that person meet this qualification when he couldn't get anybody to let him work with them before? Reducing these hours is not going to make anybody more willing, is it?

SENATOR BURLING: Well, it...you know, reducing the hours doesn't make it quite so burdensome on somebody that might employ him or let him shadow them for...a year and a half, you know, is a lot longer than eight or nine months. So it would