

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003      LB 267

SENATOR BURLING: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, when you said it didn't have a public hearing, I thought you meant it was a...oh, but yeah, if it was a bill it would have had a public hearing. So this is just being presented to us out of whole cloth, out of thin air, more or less, with your assistance?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And your request to us is that we trust you and the Secretary of State...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that this is the best way to do this?

SENATOR BURLING: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you think this is the best way to do it?

SENATOR BURLING: I think so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Thank you, Senator Burling. I have to turn my light on to finish. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Senator Burling. Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Senator Burling, I'm just trying to sort something out. This is more of a technical nature. A private detective and private detective agency licensing requirements require the 3,000 hours, and plain clothes investigators, who work for those agencies, aren't required to have any hours. So I'm trying to understand your amendment. So if you go...and Senator Chambers, I borrowed your green copy here. I'm not clear, if you go to page 3, line 25, it says, an ap...and you changed the word "licensee" to "applicant" for plain clothes investigator? I guess my confusion is, are you...is this...is your intention just to do it for the private detectives?