

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 267

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did you ask him why he didn't want to do it?

SENATOR BURLING: Not really. He just said this would be a simpler way, and it would put it in statute, instead of leaving it up to each individual Secretary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: For whom would it be simpler, him, or us in the Legislature?

SENATOR BURLING: I guess that's any way you look at it, I guess.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It's not simpler for us, because we have to take time on it. It's got to be simpler for him, because he doesn't have to do anything. So this would be a convenience for the Secretary of State. Is that true?

SENATOR BURLING: Well, you could look at it that way.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If he were going to change the present number of hours, would he have to have a hearing before he could change that, do you know?

SENATOR BURLING: There's a hearing process that they went through. I'm not sure just exactly what that is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But during that process, anybody with an interest could express it there, just as they could if we had a legislative hearing. Is that true?

SENATOR BURLING: I'm assuming that's true. Although the letter from the office indicated that this was arrived at after consultation with current licensees.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Did this...was this in the form of a bill?

SENATOR BURLING: This amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.