

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 267

came up. And then following the task force's work, I met with some private investigator folks, who work on training, over the summer a couple of years ago, and also worked with the Secretary of State's Office. And I just mention that because I'm not...I'm coming in cold to this amendment, but I'm not coming in cold to the whole discussion. So I'd like to ask Senator Burling a question.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Burling, would you yield?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Just to make sure I'm understanding this. What you're attempting to do...currently, it's rules and regulations set by the Secretary of State.

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR THOMPSON: And you don't like the rules and regulations of the Secretary of State, so you want to change them statutorily? Is that what's...am I understanding the framework of this?

SENATOR BURLING: In conversation with people involved, it seems...now that it's been in place several years, the 3,000 hours seems excessive and impractical. And as I said, the Secretary of State said to me that this would be a simple, effective way of correcting that, putting it in statute, taking it out of their rules and regulations by their department, if that's what the body wants to do.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Why doesn't the Secretary of State just do it? Why do we have to put this in statute?

SENATOR BURLING: They would have to go through their process again and change it, the way they put it in there in the first place. And he said that if I could get this amendment on this bill, that would be a much simpler way of doing it than for them go through it again.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Thank you, Senator Burling. Well, I guess I