

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003

LB 667

the old language that was being stricken so that a comparison could be made, an understanding could be had. And the reason I appreciate the work that he did, he knew how seriously I had been taking this bill. There are some changes that Senator Jensen mentioned that are made pursuant to discussions we had on the floor. Some words were eliminated that may not have seemed of consequence to anybody, but since that is a serious...this is a serious bill and it's being crafted carefully, I appreciate the fact that some of those words, like "exactly," were removed, because if they exist in one part of the bill the word should exist in another part of the bill that may be saying a similar thing. So the better course was not to insert "exactly" some place else, but to remove it where it wasn't necessary. So, before I croak, before the "Great Santini" croaks...and I know his name but now he'll know that I'm saying it on purpose when I call him the "Great Santini"...before he croaks I want him to know how much I appreciate the work that he did. It is not often that I come across a bill of this nature which has so much technical material in it, a bill with which I have no objection to, but which I did not completely feel comfortable about because of some of the language in it, but it has been handled very, very capably and I think Senator Jensen should consider himself fortunate to have so competent an employee, and I think the Legislature should consider itself fortunate to have the benefit of the "Great Santini's" work. Senator Jensen, I will expect, any time I spearhead a drive to get better and additional benefits for our employees, for you to be standing there, right beside me, even on occasion saying, Ernie, you're doing okay but you're not going far enough. And having said that, I'm going to support the amendment, I support the bill, but I felt I needed to say that so Jeff wouldn't think his work had been done in vain or that I had not given it the serious consideration that is reflective of the respect I have for the work that he did. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**SPEAKER BROMM:** Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) See no further discussion. Senator Jensen, you're recognized to close on your amendment.

**SENATOR JENSEN:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I think we're all fortunate that we have some good staff, and I tell them quite