

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2003 LB 610

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And when it goes off, I'm going to put it on one more time. Then I'll be through. What I would do when I'm presenting my argument is to lay it out so that the main points would be stated immediately, so the court knows where I'm going, what I'm basing my position on, and what I want the court to do. And I didn't have a piece of paper that I read from. I inscribe mine on my brain. So I can look at the judges all the time. I can watch their reactions individually to what I'm saying. And when a judge asks a question, the judge either wants you to give an answer, to put something on the record, wants to see if you know what you're talking about, or is directing your attention to what is of interest to the court. So I always went there with the understanding that the desires of the judges, the court, are uppermost. So when a question was asked, I would answer the question as thoroughly as I could, pause, look at the judges; when none seemed to be interested in pursuing it, I would continue with my argument. In this instance, if you look in column two, toward the bottom, you'll see this written in the article. And this appeared in the Omaha World-Herald, April 16. "Through his speaker phone connection, Judge A. Raymond Randolph interrupted Bruning, saying Nebraska and Bruning 'have not come close' to meeting the burden of proof. Randolph reminded Bruning"...that's a nice word. He didn't remind him. You remind somebody of something they knew and forgot. Bruning never knew. But let me continue. "Randolph reminded Bruning that a constitutional challenge requires the state to prove that the EPA rule could never be enforced in a lawful manner. Randolph pressed Bruning to concede that drinking water laws do have interstate ramifications. As Bruning resumed his argument, Randolph insisted on a more direct answer." Now, this is a judge. "'When you evade a question like that, it makes me wonder whether you have an answer,' Randolph said." Well, Randolph is presuming too much. Bruning probably didn't understand the question. Continuing, "Judge Harry T. Edwards joined in a bit later, saying he 'didn't see how (the state's arguments) could survive.' At one point, Edwards seemed incredulous about the state's contention with regard to interstate commerce. 'You can't make that argument with a straight face,' he said." My comment in the margin: an ignorant man can--and did. Bruning did not know anything. He went into a gunfight unarmed. These