

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 249

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitor introduced.) On with discussion, Senator Raikes, second component.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. To respond some to the points raised by Senator Chambers, and also by Senator Stuhr, I heard Senator Stuhr mention that she was interested in seeing if there wasn't sort of a compromise that could be arranged to move the entire bill, both components, forward. I would certainly support her in that. I think there are some things that we could take a look at, and I'm certainly very much open to talking to her about that. Let me try to offer some things to clarify a little bit the issue brought by Senator Mines, and then also by Senator Chambers, that the notion that we should do this administratively rather than legislatively. And also along the lines that there is nothing in law right now dealing with this, so why are we barging in there? Well, I would make just the following points, that administration cannot be at variance with the law. If there is something in the statute that is a limit, then administrative rules can't go beyond that. There is currently an administrative rule in place to limit recruiting between high schools, and there's apparently an interest by a number of schools in recruiting and also by a number of other schools that they're not recruiting. The main tool is a 90-day lay out. We're going to use a 90-day lay out as a rule to stop recruiting, but the law says that option students and resident students must be treated the same. So this is an argument against the notion that currently statute has nothing to do with this. It does have something to do with it. It says that you have to treat option students and resident students the same. So a 90-day lay out rule that deals only with option students is at variance with the current statute. So if you want to do something like that, then you need to change the statutes so that you allow a lay out period, a 90-day lay out period for option students. So, again, I hope that's helpful. It's not as though we're barging into an area and there is absolutely nothing said about this issue in statute. There, in effect, is. What is said there now is that you treat option students and resident students the same. The rule in place by the NSAA is