

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003      LB 249

"maximum" added as a way to avoid specifying the cost of differing levels of an activity. It can be the way that you described it, but I think there should be some language added to this which makes that item that you're suggesting crystal-clear as opposed to the item as it's described in the existing language and the mere addition of the word "maximum." That can be done later, though, because now I understand where you're going. And this is on my time, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so I want to go back to the green copy of this bill. I had started out by letting the cat out of the bag in pointing out that it is athletic competition and recruiting that leads to this 90-day rule and all the rest of it, and pretty soon you're going to have as tight a restriction on the players as the NCAA has. Do you know what they do? They don't trust coaches, they don't trust schools, so they hammer the kid. That's what the NCAA says. We know these schools will cheat if you let them give the kids something. We know coaches will cheat if you let them give something. So we're going to hit the kid and say he can't get anything. Yet the kid is the innocent one and the victim. Where we are dealing with it in high school, we're saying that if we don't put some kind of restriction on the child, then the school is going to cheat and the coach is going to cheat. Well, if they're cheaters, we ought to just say so, but that doesn't mean we should put something in statute which is not going to do away with the cheating. The IRS code is so thick because they are trying to head off every cheater and they cannot do that. So if this language in the green copy talking about eligibility and ineligibility were stricken, that's the way it should be, in my mind. And if this school group consists of all of the other schools and their membership comprises that organization, let them decide how they're going to be governed. And if they don't trust each other, find some way to police what they do, but don't bring it to the Legislature and tell us we want you to legislate against our cheaters because we cannot control them. I still have seen no compelling reason for us to put this into law. And Senator Stuhr can tell us that the original option student bill was passed 50 years ago and we're going to now