

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 249

SENATOR STUHR: No, it's both.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then it's not in statute.

SENATOR STUHR: It's both.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then if...

SENATOR STUHR: It's both.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it's...

SENATOR STUHR: I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Let me ask Senator Raikes some questions about the part of the divided question we're on.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, if the word "maximum" is not included, what problem exists that these schools persuaded you is so severe that we ought to put this into the statute?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes. Senator Chambers, let me...I think I understand your concern with the word and what it might lead to, but let me address it this way. Suppose...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me ask a different question...

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to zero in. Might there be more than one dollar amount involved, and rather than put all of those different dollar amounts, you just put one maximum amount, the greatest of all of these other amounts. Is that what this is, and if not, I'll just listen to you explain it...