

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 249

not...are not supportive of it. But we are talking about over 240 schools that would like to see it, because they see it as a solution to looking more at the education instead of the recruiting.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Well, if you would just...if you would do away with ineligibility, forget all about the Activities Association...most school districts have to agree; let them...why do...why do we put in statute something dealing with activities, or not just because some school districts want it, you know, why should we do it? I guess that's my question.

SENATOR STUHR: Well, I guess a...probably, I think there might have been maybe seven or eight school districts that did not want it. I guess, you know, we kind of go by majority, those that support an issue. And we had over 240 schools that support this issue. The Nebraska Department of Education supports this proposal. The State Board of Education supports this proposal. The Nebraska School Board Association supports the proposal. Council of School Administrators.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: So you know, how much more do you need?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Well, I...my thing is, I think we should be...you know, be concerned about the educational process rather than the activities. And so I think we shouldn't mix the two of them into the pot. I...you know, I would think if you go from March 15 till the...whenever you want to, that you have to have both school districts sign off, and forget about the 90-day waiting period. I think that's the Activities Association thing, that they have in their rules. And we're just copying their rules? Is that right?

SENATOR STUHR: No, not exactly. I think you sort of have, probably, your head in the sand if you think that...I mean, evidently those schools saw it as a concern. And that is why they brought the issue.

SENATOR HARTNETT: I...to me, it's...as I listen to it, is that