

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 249

SENATOR STUHR: ...education purposes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But Senator Stuhr, if that emphasis is placed, it's because of the NSAA rather than statute. Is that true? NSAA rules relative to competition? Is that true?

SENATOR STUHR: We wanted to have a date set instead of two schools looking at the waiver option. So what we're doing...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then if we...

SENATOR STUHR: ...is eliminating that waiver, and setting a date...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if we...

SENATOR STUHR: ...in statute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if we just put the date and struck everything else, you would be satisfied, right?

SENATOR STUHR: I'm...I don't understand your question. I'm sorry.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If the only thing left in this bill was this date that you're talking about,...

SENATOR STUHR: July 1.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...we could strike everything else from the bill, and that would be all right. You'd still want the bill. Is that true?

SENATOR STUHR: I think that the other provisions that we have are just clarifying. Are...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I see extracurricular...

SENATOR STUHR: ...clarifying.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...in this new language on page 2. This...