

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 249

with...simply, is activities...the activities driving this...the bill as it is? Maybe we need to, July 1, say, there's no option students, period, after that time, you know, rather than allow them to agree. So maybe we don't need the one section. So, Senator Chambers, you want some more time? I would (inaudible).

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, about a minute and a half have elapsed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you respond?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, in order that I don't have to give dates and confuse anything, if a student who will be called an option student is going to transfer within a certain window, there must be an agreement between the sending school and the receiving school before that student can participate at the receiving school. Is that true?

SENATOR STUHR: That is true, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, why should we allow...

SENATOR STUHR: It is a waiver. It is a waiver of provision right now, where they both have to sign.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, is that in statute, where both of them have to agree...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to let the student participate? That's what I'm talking about. Not come to the school. There is a provision, is there not, that the receiving and the sending school would have to agree to let the student participate in extracurricular activities. Is that not part of what we're talking about, too? Or does the agreement only involve the